1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

Scattering of gap solitons by \mathcal{PT} -symmetric defects

F. Kh. Abdullaev,^{1,2} V. A. Brazhnyi,³ and M. Salerno⁴

¹Physical - Technical Institute, Uzbek Academy of Sciences, 2-b, G. Mavlyanov str., 100084 Tashkent, Uzbekistan

²P Kulliyyah of Science, IIUM, Jalan Istana, Bandar Indera Mahkota, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia

³Centro de Física do Porto, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, R. Campo Alegre 687, Porto 4169-007, Portugal

⁴Dipartimento di Fisica "E. R. Caianiello", Universitá di Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II, stecca 9, I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy

(Received 14 August 2013; published xxxxx)

The resonant scattering of gap solitons (GSs) of the periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, with a localized defect which is symmetric under the parity and the time-reversal (PT) symmetry, is investigated. It is shown that for suitable amplitudes ratios of the real and imaginary parts of the defect potential the resonant transmission of the GS through the defect becomes possible. The resonances occur for potential parameters which allow the existence of localized defect modes with the same energy and norm of the incoming GS. Scattering properties of gap solitons of different band gaps with effective masses of opposite sign are investigated. The possibility of unidirectional transmission and blockage of gap solitons by PT defect, as well as, amplification and destruction induced by multiple reflections from two PT defects, are also discussed.

17 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.00.003800

PACS number(s): 42.65.Tg, 03.75.Nt, 05.30.Jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently it has been shown that non-Hermitian Hamiltoni-19 ans that are symmetric with respect to both parity and time-20 reversal (\mathcal{PT}) symmetry can have a fully real spectrum, in spite 21 of the non-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian [1]. This observation 22 has attracted the attention of many researchers, both for 23 theoretical developments of dissipative systems in quantum 24 mechanics, and for developments of concrete applications in 25 the fields of optics [2], plasmonics [3], electronics [4], and 26 metamaterials [5]. 27

In particular, in the field of nonlinear optics, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric 28 potentials are presently investigated for management of light 29 propagation in media with specific spatial distributions of gain 30 and losses [2]. In this context, many interesting phenomena 31 have been reported, including double refraction of beams [6], 32 nonreciprocal propagation in periodic \mathcal{PT} -symmetric media 33 [7], existence of optical solitons [8,9], routing in optical \mathcal{PT} -34 symmetric mesh lattices [10], etc. \mathcal{PT} -symmetric lattices have 35 also been suggested for realizations in resonant media with 36 three-level atoms [11]. 37

The scattering of usual continuous and discrete solitons 38 by localized \mathcal{PT} potentials have been recently investigated 39 in [12] for the case of Scarf II type \mathcal{PT} potential, and in [13] 40 for \mathcal{PT} defects in a quasilinear regime where it has been shown 41 that reflected and transmitted small amplitude waves can be 42 amplified in the scattering process. The possibility of soliton 43 switching in a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric coupler induced by the gain and 44 loss properties of the \mathcal{PT} defect was also suggested in [14]. 45

Existence and stability of defect-gap solitons in real 46 periodic optical lattices (OLs) with \mathcal{PT} -symmetric nonlinear 47 potentials have been demonstrated in [15]. In this context, par-48 ticular attention has been devoted to the scattering properties 49 of linear waves propagating in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric optical media, 50 as well as to the existence of localized states, both in linear 51 and nonlinear cases. The existence and stability of gap solitons 52 (GSs) in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric lattices with single-sided defects were 53 considered in [16,17] for the continuous case, and for the 54 discrete case with a nonlocal nonlinearity in [18], where it was 55 shown that nonlocality can enlarge soliton existence regions 56 in parameter space. 57

Scattering of GSs by localized defects has been extensively 58 investigated in the conservative case. In particular, the exis-59 tence of repeated reflection, transmission, and trapping regions 60 for increasing defect amplitudes has been demonstrated in [19] 61 where the phenomenon of resonant transmission was discussed 62 and ascribed to the existence of defect modes matching the 63 energy and the norm of the incoming GS. Moreover, it was 64 shown that the number of resonances observed in the scattering 65 coincides with the number of bound states existing inside the 66 defect potential and that the sign of the effective mass of the 67 GS plays an important role in the interaction with the defect 68 potential [19]. Scattering properties of GSs by \mathcal{PT} -symmetric 69 defect potentials, to the best of our knowledge, have not been 70 investigated. Quite recently, the existence of defect modes of 71 \mathcal{PT} -symmetric OLs has been experimentally reported in [20]. 72

Possible extensions of the above conservative results to the case of \mathcal{PT} defects can be of interest in several respects. In particular, it is interesting to see if the interpretation of the scattering properties in terms of resonances with \mathcal{PT} defect modes is still valid. In addition, the interplay between effective mass, potential amplitudes, and interaction is also very interesting to explore in the presence of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric defects.

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the scattering 80 properties of a GS of the periodic nonlinear Schrödinger 81 equation (NLSE) by localized \mathcal{PT} -symmetric defects. In 82 particular, we show that resonant transmissions of GSs through 83 a \mathcal{PT} defect become possible for amplitude ratios of real 84 and imaginary parts of the \mathcal{PT} potential which allow the 85 existence of defect modes with the same energy and norm 86 of the incoming GS. For \mathcal{PT} defects with a small imaginary 87 part, the scattering properties are found to be very similar to 88 those reported for the conservative case [19]. As the imaginary 89 part of the \mathcal{PT} defect potential is increased, however, we 90 show that GS can be strongly amplified or depleted especially 91 when potential parameters are very close to higher resonance. 92 Resonant transmission peaks obtained from direct numerical 93 integrations of the NLS equation are found to be in all cases 94 in good agreement with those predicted by a stationary \mathcal{PT} 95 defect mode analysis. 96

Scattering properties of GS with different effective masses ⁹⁷ are also investigated. In particular, we show that GS with ⁹⁸

opposite effective mass behave similarly when the sign of 99 the \mathcal{PT} defect is reversed, this confirms the validity of an 100 effective mass description in the scattering by \mathcal{PT} defects. The 101 possibility of unidirectional transmission of GS through \mathcal{PT} 102 defects, and the amplification or destruction of a GS trapped 103 between two \mathcal{PT} defects, are also considered at the end. 104 Finally, we remark that \mathcal{PT} -symmetric potentials are presently 105 experimentally implemented in optical systems and we expect 106 that the above results can have experimental implementations 107 in systems similar to the one considered in [20]. 108

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce 109 the model equation and discuss the main properties of the 110 system. In Sec. III we present scattering results obtained from 111 direct numerical PDE integrations of the system, for resonant 112 transmissions, trapping, and reflections of a GS through a 113 \mathcal{T} defect, as a function of the potential parameters. This P 114 is done both for a GS of the semi-infinite gap and for GS of 115 the first band gap, with positive and negative effective masses, 116 respectively, and the results are compared with those obtained 117 from defect mode analysis. In Sec. IV we discuss possible 118 applications of the scattering properties of a GS both by a 119 single \mathcal{PT} defect and by a couple of defects, while in the last 120 section the main results of the paper are briefly summarized. 121

122

II. THE MODEL

¹²³ The model equation we consider is the following normal-¹²⁴ ized one-dimensional NLSE:

$$i\Psi_t = -\Psi_{xx} + [V_{\rm ol}(x) + V_d(x)]\Psi + \sigma |\Psi|^2 \Psi, \qquad (1)$$

with $V_{ol}(x)$ denoting a periodic potential (optical lattice) of period L [$V_{ol}(x) = V_{ol}(x + L)$] and V_d is a localized \mathcal{PT} symmetric complex defect introducing gain and loss in the system.

This equation arises in connection with the propagation of a plane light beam in a Kerr nonlinear media with a linear complex refraction index $n(x) = n_R(x) + in_I(x)$ introducing periodic modulation and localized gain-loss distribution in the transverse *x*-direction. As is well known, the wave equation for the propagation of the electric field of the beam, in the paraxial approximation, can be written as

$$iE_z + \frac{1}{2\beta}E_{xx} + k_0[n_R(x) + in_I(x) + \sigma |n_2||E|^2]E = 0,$$
(2)

where E(x,z) is the electric field, z is the longitudinal (prop-136 agation) distance, $\beta = n_0 k_0 = 2\pi n_0 / \lambda_0$ is the propagation 137 constant, with n_0 and n_2 as the background and quadratic 138 parts of the refraction index, respectively, and with σ fixing 139 the sign of the coefficient of the Kerr nonlinearity (e.g., $\sigma = 1$ 140 for focusing and $\sigma = -1$ for defocusing cases). It is known 141 that in order to satisfy the \mathcal{PT} symmetry $n_R(x)$ must be an 142 even function while the gain-loss component $n_I(x)$ must be 143 odd. Equation (1) then follows from Eq. (2) after introducing 144 dimensionless variables $t = \frac{z}{L_b}, x = \frac{x}{x_b}$ and the rescaling of the field amplitude and refraction index according to 145 146

$$\sqrt{k_0 |n_2| L_b} E = \Psi, \quad 2\beta^2 x_b^2 n(x) = V_{\text{ol}} + V_d$$
 (3)

¹⁴⁷ (here x_b denotes the initial width of the beam and $L_b = \beta x_b^2$ is ¹⁴⁸ its diffraction length). In the following we fix $V_{ol} = V_0 \cos(2x)$ 149

and take the defect potential $V_d(x)$ of the form

$$V_d(x) = \frac{\eta + i\xi x}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta} \exp[-(x - x_0)^2 / (2\Delta^2)],$$
 (4)

where η is the strength of the conservative part of the defect while coefficient ξ stands for the gain-dissipation parameter. The width of the defect is fixed to $\Delta = 5$ in all numerical calculations. Similar \mathcal{PT} defect was also considered recently in Ref. [17]. Although in this paper we mainly concentrate on the case of a single \mathcal{PT} defect, some result about the scattering of GSs from two \mathcal{PT} defects will also be discussed at the end.

As it is well known, in the absence of any defect potential, ¹⁵⁷ Eq. (1) possesses families of exact GS solutions with energy ¹⁵⁸ (propagation constant) located in the band gaps of the linear ¹⁶⁹ eigenvalue problem ¹⁶⁰

$$\frac{d^2\varphi_{\alpha k}}{dx^2} + [E_{\alpha}(k) - V_{\rm ol}(x)]\varphi_{\alpha k} = 0,$$
(5)

where $\varphi_{\alpha k}(x)$ are an orthonormal set of Bloch functions with α 161 denoting the band index and k is the crystal momentum inside 162 the first Brillouin zone (BZ): $k \in [-1,1]$. It is also known 163 that small-amplitude GSs with energies E_s very close to band 164 edges are of the form $\psi(x,t) = A(\zeta,\tau)\varphi_{\alpha k}(x)e^{-iE_{\alpha}(k)t}$ with the 165 envelope function $A(\zeta,\tau)$ obeying the following NLSE: 166

$$i\frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{2M_{\rm eff}}\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \zeta^2} + \chi |A|^2 A, \tag{6}$$

where τ and ζ are slow temporal and spatial variables, $M_{\rm eff} =$ 167 $(d^2 E_{\alpha}/dk^2)^{-1}$ denotes the soliton effective mass, and $\chi =$ 168 $\sigma \int |\varphi_{\alpha k}|^4 dx$ is the effective nonlinearity [22]. The condition 169 for the existence of such solitons is $\chi M_{\rm eff} < 0$ [21] and 170 coincides with the condition for the modulational instability 171 of Bloch wave functions at the edges of the BZ [22]. In the 172 presence of an OL with a localized \mathcal{PT} defect, the linear 173 spectral problem will still display a band structure but with 174 additional localized states (defect modes) that are associated 175 with real eigenvalues (in band gaps) when the imaginary part 176 of the potential is below a critical value $|\xi_c| = |\eta|/\sqrt{2\Delta}$. 177 Above this point, defect mode spectrum becomes mixed 178 with complex pairs of eigenvalues, this corresponding to a 179 dynamical breaking of the \mathcal{PT} symmetry [23]. We remark 180 that in nonlinear optics, \mathcal{PT} symmetry and \mathcal{PT} -symmetry 181 breaking have been both observed experimentally [24,25]. 182

III. SCATTERING OF GS BY A \mathcal{PT} DEFECT:183NUMERICAL RESULTS184

$$T = \frac{1}{N_0} \int_{x_c}^{\infty} |\Psi(x, t_s)|^2 dx,$$

$$C = \frac{1}{N_0} \int_{-x_c}^{x_c} |\Psi(x, t_s)|^2 dx,$$

$$R = \frac{1}{N_0} \int_{-\infty}^{-x_c} |\Psi(x, t_s)|^2 dx,$$
(7)

where the integrals are evaluated after a sufficient long time t_s 189 (typically $t_s \approx 20\,000$), for the process to become stationary. 190 Here N_0 denotes the initial norm of the incoming GS [e.g., 191 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(x,t=0)|^2 dx$, and the interval $[-x_c,x_c]$ represents 192 the trapping region around the \mathcal{PT} defect, with x_c fixed in all 193 our calculations to $x_c = 30L$. In particular, we are interested 194 in characterizing the dependence of the above coefficients on 195 the \mathcal{PT} defect parameters η and ξ , both for a GS of the 196 semi-infinite gap and for a GS of the first band gap, having 197 positive and negative effective masses, respectively. Notice 198 that different from the conservative case, the sum of the above 199 coefficients is not normalized to 1, e.g., $R + T + C \neq 1$, due 200 to the presence of gain and loss in the system which does 201 not allow the norm conservation. In particular, the above 202 coefficients during the scattering can become larger than one 203 due to the gain action of the \mathcal{PT} defect. In all numerical 204 investigations reported below, the GS is constructed as a 205 stationary solution of the periodic NLS equation located at 206 a large distance ($\approx 100L$) from the \mathcal{PT} defect (far away 207 from the defect such states practically coincide with of the 208 NLSE with a perfect OL). The stationary GS is then put in 209 motion by means of the phase imprinting technique, e.g., 210 by applying a linear phase $e^{-i\sigma vx/2}$ to the stationary wave 211 function. 212

213

A. GS of the semi-infinite gap

Let us first consider the case of a GS of the semi-infinite 214 gap, e.g., with $\sigma = -1$ in Eq. (1), with energy (propagation 215 constant) $E_s = -0.125$ close to the bottom edge of the lowest 216 energy band. Initial GS profile and \mathcal{PT} defect shape $V_d(x)$ are 217 shown in Fig. 1 for the case $\xi = \pm 0.02 |\eta|$. In the numerical 218 experiment we apply an initial velocity to the GS, typically in 219 the range 0.02-0.1, and gradually decreasing the strength of 220 the defect parameter η under condition $\xi = \pm 0.02 |\eta|$, in order 22 obtain the RCT coefficients depicted in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) 222 to (see also Figs. 3, 4, 6, 9, 10 for the other cases discussed 223 below). We see that for weak defect amplitudes and for the 224 same GS initial velocity (v = 0.05), the positions of the T 225 peaks, labeled B, C, and D in Fig. 2(a), mostly coincide with 226 the ones of the conservative case considered in [19] (see Fig. 3 227 in [19]). 228

It is worth noting the differences in the behavior of the reflection coefficient. While in the case ($\xi = 0$) the coefficient R approaches the value 1 in the regions of nonexistence of defect modes, one can see that in the case $\xi = 0.02|\eta|$ the *R* coefficient in the interval $\eta \in [-6,0]$ in the total reflection regions becomes slightly greater than 1 [see Fig. 2(a)],



FIG. 1. (Color online) Initial profile of a GS located in the semi-infinite gap at (a) $E_s = -0.125$ and defect potential $V_d(x)$ with (b) $\xi = 0.02|\eta|$ and with (c) $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$. Other parameters are $\eta = -0.1$, $V_0 = -1$.



FIG. 2. (Color online) *RCT* diagram for (a) $V_d(x)$ with $\xi = 0.02|\eta|$ and (b) $\overline{V_d}(x)$ with $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$. Other parameters: v = 0.05, $E_s = -0.125$, $V_0 = -1$.

meaning that during reflection the GS has been amplified by the defect. The opposite behavior is observed for the case $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$ [corresponding to the defect $\overline{V_d}(x)$], e.g., in the reflection regions inside the interval $\eta \in [-6,0]$ the reflection coefficient is always smaller than 1, meaning that the GS has been damped during the reflection [see Fig. 2(b)].

This behavior of the *R* coefficient may at a first sight ²⁴¹ appear counterintuitive, especially if one observes that in our ²⁴² numerical experiments the GS is always coming from the left ²⁴³ and when it gets amplified (depleted) it arrives first at the loss ²⁴⁴ (gain) side of the defect, from which one could expect just the ²⁴⁵ opposite, e.g., a depletion (amplification) of the GS from the ²⁴⁶ defect. The observed behavior, however, can be understood if ²⁴⁷ one considers in more detail the GS dynamics during reflection. ²⁴⁸ From an intuitive point of view one can argue that since for ²⁴⁹ $\xi > 0$ ($\xi < 0$) the GS interacts first with the loss (gain), it ²⁵⁰ passes this region with some velocity so that the turning point ²⁵¹ of its dynamics occurs more closely to the gain (loss) region ²⁵²



FIG. 3. (Color online) Zoom of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) showing details in the interval $\eta \in [-6.5, -5.5]$ around the resonance.

of the defect (this is particularly true if the initial velocity is 253 high or the imaginary part of the defect is small). Considering 254 that the GS is an extended object and for the cases considered 255 in this paper its typical width is of about 30L (see Fig. 1), e.g., 256 much larger than the size of the defect with a width $\approx 8L$, this 257 means that during the reflection the GS will be in any case 258 exposed to the action of the gain (loss) side of the defect and 259 the influence of this region on the dynamics will be larger as 260 the closest will be the turning point at the origin. To understand 261 if the GS will emerge amplified or depleted from the reflection 262 it is convenient to consider the mean imaginary part of the 263 defect potential seen by the GS at a given time defined as 264

$$\langle V_i \rangle(t) = \frac{1}{N_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}[V_d(x)] |\Psi(x,t)|^2 dx.$$
(8)

It is clear that if $\int \langle V_i \rangle dt$ is positive (negative), the amplification (depletion) of the GS is expected during the reflection.

This is exactly what it is shown in the right panels of Fig. 5, where results of two distinctive cases from Fig. 4, with $\eta = -5$ and $\eta = -7$, are reported. In the left panels of Fig. 5 we have depicted the trajectory of the center *X*,

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{N_0} \int x |\Psi(x,t)|^2 dx$$
 (9)

of the density distribution during the reflection. One can see 271 from this figure that, in agreement with our intuitive argument, 272 for smaller values of $|\eta|$ (e.g., on the right side of resonance 273 at $\eta \approx -6$) the GS can penetrate the defect more and in the 274 cases in which the GS is amplified, the turning point of the 275 trajectory always occurs closer (less close) to the origin for 276 > 0 ($\xi < 0$). The opposite behavior is observed for a GS 277 ξ that is depleted during the reflection. 278

Interesting results are also observed when the imaginary part of the defect potential is increased and the non-Hermitian character of the interaction contributes more significantly to the scattering. For the chosen ratio $\xi/|\eta| = 0.02$, this occurs around the value $\eta \leq -6$ as one can see from the details depicted in Fig. 3(a). From this it is clear that the interaction



FIG. 4. (Color online) The same as in Fig. 3 but for an incoming GS velocity v = 0.1. Other parameters are fixed as in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).



FIG. 5. (Color online) Trajectories of the center of the density distribution X(t) in (a), (c) and mean imaginary part of \mathcal{PT} defect $\langle V_i \rangle$ in (b), (d) of a GS during reflection. The top row panels (a), (b) corresponds to case $\xi = 0.02|\eta|$ and the bottom row panels (c), (d) to case $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$. Incoming GS velocity and other parameters are fixed as in Fig. 4.

of the GS with the loss and gain parts of the \mathcal{PT} defect 285 changes character when passing through the resonance point. 286 In particular, one can see that near $\eta = -5.9$ the reflection ²⁸⁷ coefficient shows a rapid growth corresponding to a strong 288 amplification during the reflection. The explanation of this 289 follows from the same arguments given above and from 290 the fact that the interaction with almost resonant stationary 291 defect modes will further prolong the interaction time that 292 the GS has with the gain side of the defect, this results in a 293 higher amplification. This effect can also be observed at lower 294 resonances by decreasing the incoming GS velocity, as one can 295 see from Fig. 6 for the rapid growth of T and R coefficients 296 occurring around $\eta = -4$. 297



FIG. 6. (Color online) *RCT* diagram for (a) $\xi = 0.02|\eta|$ and (b) $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$. Other parameters: v = 0.02, $E_s = -0.125$, $V_0 = -1$.

From Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) it is also quite evident that the 298 crossover of the R coefficient from R > 1 (R < 1) to R < 1299 (R > 1) occurs for the case $\xi = 0.02 |\eta| (\xi = -0.02 |\eta|)$ when 300 $|\eta|$ is increased through the resonant point $\eta = -6$. This 301 change of behavior can be understood from the fact that by 302 further increasing the imaginary part of the \mathcal{PT} defect (as is 303 the case when $|\eta| > 6$), one reaches the point in which the 304 turning point of the GS dynamics will always occur in the 305 defect side from where the soliton arrives, so that it is always 306 depleted by V_d and amplified by \overline{V}_d . This explanation also 307 correlates with the above arguments in terms of turning points 308 and mean effective potentials $\langle V_i \rangle$. 309

From the more detailed Fig. 3, it appears evident that just 310 beyond the point $\eta = -6$, trapping becomes dominant and due 311 to strong interaction with defect modes, the GS becomes very 312 unstable, leading to the irregular oscillatory behavior observed 313 for the trapping coefficient in Fig. 3(b). By decreasing the 314 elocity of the incoming GS, however, the transmission peaks 315 become narrow (see Fig. 6) and the R coefficient becomes 316 closer to 1 in the total reflection regions (scattering is less 317 affected by the complex potential). This is a consequence of 318 the fact that for a smaller velocity a small amount of the GS 319 wave function penetrates the defect and the interaction with 320 the complex part of the potential is reduced. 321

It is also interesting to discuss the case $\eta > 0$ for which the 322 real part of the \mathcal{PT} defect corresponds to a barrier potential 323 rather than a potential well. This obviously does not allow 324 the formation of any stationary mode inside the defect since in 325 this case C = 0 and only transmissions or reflections of the GS 326 are possible. For a conservative defect (e.g., for $\xi = 0$) it was 327 shown in [19] that for large defect amplitudes the incoming GS 328 always totally reflected (e.g., R = 1 and T = C = 0). For is 329 \mathcal{PT} defect with $\eta > 0$, we find that while the transmission а 330 and trapping coefficients continue to be zeros for large η , the 331 reflection coefficient, in accordance to our previous discussion, 332 depends on the sign of ξ (as well as on the ratio $\xi/|\eta|$) and can 333 be smaller or larger than 1 (see Fig. 7) depending on whether 334 the GS is interacting more with the dissipative or with the gain 335 side of the defect, respectively. 336

B. GS of first band gap

337

Scattering properties of a GS belonging to the first band gap in the case of self-focusing Kerr nonlinearity [$\sigma = 1$ in Eq. (1)] are quite similar to the ones discussed above. In this case, however, it is possible to have GS with a negative effective



FIG. 7. (Color online) Behavior of the *R* coefficient in the scattering of a GS of the semi-infinite band gap with v = 0.05, by a \mathcal{PT} defect with $\eta > 0$. The ratio $\xi/|\eta|$ is indicated near the corresponding curve.



FIG. 8. (Color online) Initial profile of a GS located near the top of the lower band at (a) $E_s = 0.475$, and \mathcal{PT} defect potential $V_d(x)$ for (b) $\xi = 0.02|\eta|$ and (c) $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$. Other parameters are $\eta = 1$, $V_0 = -1$.

mass if the Kerr nonlinearity is defocusing. To investigate the 342 effects of a negative GS mass on the scattering properties we 343 consider a GS of energy (propagation constant) $E_s = 0.475$ 344 close to the top edge of the lowest band. The initial GS profile 345 and shapes of defect potentials are depicted in Fig. 8. For 346 parameters of the \mathcal{PT} potential that are below the threshold 347 of the spontaneous \mathcal{PT} -symmetry breaking (as is the case 348 considered here) the spectrum is entirely real with a band 349 structure that is only slightly affected by the defect. Since the 350 effective mass is related to the curvature of the band we expect 351 that an effective mass description of the GS dynamics should 352 still be valid, at least for \mathcal{PT} defects quite localized and with 353 imaginary parts not too large. We remark here, however, that a 354 proof of the validity of the effective mass theorem for periodic 355 \mathcal{PT} potentials is presently lacking (notice that in our case the 356 OL is real and the \mathcal{PT} symmetry is only coming from the 357 defect). In an effective mass description one would expect that 358 a change of sign in the effective mass can be compensated by a 359 change of sign of the defect potential. If true, this would imply 360 that the scattering properties of a GS with a positive effective 361 mass by a \mathcal{PT} defect potential V_d should be similar to those 362 of a GS with negative effective mass scattered by a defect of 363 opposite sign $-V_d$. 364

To check if this is true, we have applied an initial velocity to GS (v = 0.05) and constructed as in the previous cases the *RCT* coefficients as a function of the defect strength. The results are presented in Fig. 9 for the cases (a) $\xi/|\eta| = 0.02$ and (b) $\xi/|\eta| = -0.02$.



FIG. 9. (Color online) *RCT* diagram for (a) $\xi = 0.02\eta$ and (b) $\xi = -0.02\eta$. Other parameters: v = 0.05, $E_s = 0.475$, $V_0 = -1$.

By comparing the RCT diagram of the GS in a semi-infinite 370 gap with defect V_d [see Fig. 2(a)] with the one in the first gap 371 with defect $-V_d$ [see Fig. 9(b)], we see that, as expected, the 372 scattering coefficients behave quite similarly in the two cases, 373 except for the opposite behavior of the R coefficient at small 374 values of $|\eta|$ (notice that R is slightly larger than 1 for the 375 GS in the semi-infinite gap and smaller than 1 for the GS 376 in the first gap). In particular, notice the rapid growth of the 377 reflection coefficient R as one approaches the higher resonance 378 both cases. The discrepancy observed in the behavior of R379 in for small values of $|\eta|$ can be ascribed to the different sizes 380 of the GS in the two cases, as one can see from Figs. 1(a) 381 and 8(a), respectively. The fact that the GS is wider in the 382 first gap permits, for the same incoming velocity, a stronger 383 interaction with the right side of the defect, than the one of the 384 more localized GSs in the semi-infinite gap. Since the right 385 side of the defect is of loss type for the GS in the first gap [see 386 Fig. 8(c) and of gain type for the GS in the semi-infinite gap 387 [see Fig. 1(a)], this explains the observed discrepancy. Notice 388 that this discrepancy is reduced by reducing the incoming 389 GS velocity [compare Fig. 6(a) with Fig. 10(b)]. This can 390 be understood from the reduction at small velocities of the 391 interaction of the GS with the right side of the defect and from 392 the smallness of η making the situation close to the one of 393 the conservative case. Also notice that the decreasing of the 394 incoming GS velocity (see Fig. 10) leads to the same effects 395 discussed for GS from the semi-infinite gap (shrinking the 396 transmission lines and approaching 1 of the R coefficient in 397 the total reflection regions). 398

A similar situation is observed for the case $\xi = -0.02|\eta|$ (e.g., for potentials \overline{V}_d and $-\overline{V}_d$) with the only difference that the discrepancy at small $|\eta|$ is now of opposite type and the rapid growth at the high resonance occurs for the *T* coefficient instead of *R* as one can see by comparing Fig. 2(b) with Fig. 9(a) [also compare Fig. 6(b) with Fig. 10(a) for the case of a smaller velocity].

We have also investigated the scattering properties of a negative mass GS by a \mathcal{PT} defect with $\eta < 0$ (see Fig. 11). Notice, that due to the negative effective mass, the potential



FIG. 10. (Color online) The same as in Fig. 9 but for a smaller incoming GS velocity v = 0.02. Other parameters are fixed as in Fig. 9.



FIG. 11. (Color online) Behavior of the *R* coefficient for the scattering of a GS of the first band gap with negative effective mass v = 0.05 by a \mathcal{PT} defect with $\eta < 0$. The ratio $\xi/|\eta|$ is indicated near the corresponding curve.

well corresponding to the real part of the \mathcal{PT} defect will 409 be seen by the GS as a potential barrier. This case should 410 then be compared with the case $\eta > 0$ previously considered 411 for the GS of the semi-infinite gap. Indeed, we find while 412 transmission and trapping coefficients are zeros the reflection 413 coefficient, in accordance to our previous discussion for a 414 GS in the semi-infinite gap, depends on the sign of ξ and 415 can be smaller or larger than 1 as one can see in Fig. 11. 416 By comparing Fig. 11 with the corresponding Fig. 7, we 417 see that a part of the discrepancy was discussed before and 418 ascribed to the different sizes of the GS, the behavior is in 419 qualitative good correspondence with what is expected from 420 an effective mass description for two GSs of opposite effective 421 masses. 422

From the above results we conclude that GSs with opposite 423 effective masses behave quite similarly in the presence of \mathcal{PT} 424 defect potentials of opposite signs, this being especially true 425 for parameters values close to the high resonances. 426

C. Resonant transmission and \mathcal{PT} defect mode analysis 427

To check the relevance of defect modes in the resonant transmission of a GS through a \mathcal{PT} defect, we have explicitly calculated defect modes by solving the stationary eigenvalue problem associated with Eq. (1), and then compared results with those obtained by direct numerical integrations. This is reported in Fig. 12 from which we see that there is a good agreement between stationary defect mode analysis and dynamical calculations. 435

Second, we have checked that in all the considered cases the 436 positions of the peaks observed in the RCT diagrams occur 437 in correspondence with potential parameters that allow the 438 existence of defect modes with the same energy and norm of 439 the incoming GSs (see Figs. 13 and 14). In particular, in Fig. 13 440 we show the energy mismatch at the resonances between the 441 GS and defect mode for two different cases, while in Fig. 14 we 442 show, for corresponding cases, the behavior of the stationary 443 and dynamical trapping coefficients as a function of η . We see 444 from these figures that the agreement between mode analysis 445 and numerical calculations is quite good both for the energy 446 mismatch and for norms. In particular, notice that the positions 447 of the peaks is in good agreement even for higher resonances 448 where the imaginary part of the \mathcal{PT} defect is not small, this 449 confirms the validity of the defect modes interpretation for the 450 resonant transmission of a GS through \mathcal{PT} defects. 451



FIG. 12. (Color online) Top three rows: Stationary defect modes at the matching points B, C, and D of Fig. 2. Bottom two rows: Stationary defect modes at the matching points E and F of Fig. 9. In the left column the real (solid black) and imaginary (dashed red) parts of the defect mode are presented and in the right column its density (solid black) is compared to the defect mode calculated numerically (dashed red). In each row the defect modes at matching points are shown.

452 IV. MULTIPLE GS SCATTERING BY TWO \mathcal{PT} DEFECTS

In this section we explore the nonreciprocity (spatial 453 asymmetry) of the resonant transmission [26,27] that could 454 be used for an unidirectional transmission/blockage of a GS 455 through a \mathcal{PT} defect (*diode effect*). For this we fix parameters 456 of the defect potential in the region where it is possible to 457 have total reflection (transmission) for the specific ratio value 458 $\xi/|\eta| = 0.02$ (-0.02). Also we refer to the specific case of 459 GS located in the semi-infinite gap depicted in Fig. 15 а 460 (similar results can be obtained for GS of higher band gaps). 46 For the above fixed ratio it is possible to observe asymmetric 462



FIG. 13. (Color online) Energy mismatch $|E - E_s|$ between defect mode and incoming GS energies vs η , for $\xi/|\eta| = 0.02$, $E_s = -0.125$ (a) and $E_s = 0.475$ (b). Incoming velocities are v = 0 (red, filled circles), v = 0.02 (blue, open circles) for (a), and v = 0 (red, filled circles), v = 0.2 (blue, open circles) for (b). Other parameters are fixed as in Figs. 6 and 10.

(nonreciprocal) behavior at $\eta = -5.8$ (see Fig. 3). The results of the interaction of the GS coming from the left and from the right with the \mathcal{PT} defect are shown in Fig. 15. As one can see from Fig. 15(a), the total transmission of the GS occurs when the GS is coming from the left, while the total reflection with amplification and acceleration is observed when GS comes from the right [see Fig. 15(b)].

By placing two \mathcal{PT} defects symmetrically at $x_{1,2} = \pm 20\pi$ 470 with opposite sign of the imaginary part $\xi_{1,2} = \pm 0.02 |\eta|$ we 471 obtain that a launched GS from the left enters the intradefects 472



FIG. 14. (Color online) Trapping coefficient vs η corresponding to cases considered in corresponding panels of Fig. 13 The dynamical coefficient C_{dyn} (dotted red) refers to the case shown in Figs. 6 and 10 for v = 0.02, while $C_{st} = N/N_0$ corresponds to the norm of defect modes normalized to the initial norm N_0 of the incoming GS.



FIG. 15. (Color online) Contour plots of the GS dynamics. Defect and GS parameters: $\eta = -5.86$, $\xi/|\eta| = 0.02$, $E_s = -0.125$, |v| = 0.05.

⁴⁷³ region and starts to be reflected from both defects with ⁴⁷⁴ amplification. The density plot of the dynamics is shown in ⁴⁷⁵ Fig. 16(a) and the dynamics of the *RCT* coefficients are shown ⁴⁷⁶ in Fig. 16(b).

As one can see from Fig. 16(b), the dynamics of the 477 coefficient has steplike behavior at each reflection in the C478 region, between the two defects the GS are being amplified and 479 becoming more localized, this eventually leads to the instabil-480 ity of the GS with emission of waves. This configuration of \mathcal{PT} 481 defects can be seen as a kind of parametric amplifier for the GS. 482 Similarly in Fig. 17 we have considered the case of two \mathcal{PT} 483 defects arranged with opposite facing loss sides so that a GS 484 entering via resonant transmission into the intradefect region 485 becomes completely depleted by the multiple reflections. One 486 can also consider an arrangement with the facing sides of the 487 defects having opposite signs so as to allow the storage of 488 solitons by compensating the loss in the reflection at one side 489 with the gain in the reflection at the other side (not shown here 490 for brevity). \mathcal{PT} defect devices based on GS will be discussed 491 492 in more detail elsewhere.



FIG. 16. (Color online) Contour plots of the GS dynamics (left panels) and time evolution of *RTC* coefficients (right panels) for a GS trapped between two adjacent \mathcal{PT} defects with opposite facing gain sides and for parameter values $x_{1,2} = \pm 20\pi$, $\eta = -5.8$, $\xi_{1,2}/|\eta| = \pm 0.02$. Parameters of the initial GS are $E_s = -0.125$, v = 0.05. Notice the amplification of the GS at each reflection and the instability with emission of radiation which appear at later stages.



FIG. 17. (Color online) The same as in Fig. 16 with two different \mathcal{PT} defects with opposite facing loss sides and for parameter values $x_{1,2} = \pm 20\pi$, $\eta_1 = -5.95$, $\xi_1/|\eta| = -0.01$ and $\eta_2 = -10$, $\xi_2/|\eta| = 0.01$. Parameters of the initial GS are $E_s = -0.125$, v = 0.05.

V. CONCLUSIONS

493

In this paper we have investigated the scattering properties 494 of gap solitons of the periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation 495 (NLSE) in the presence of localized \mathcal{PT} -symmetric defects. 496 The periodic potential responsible for the band-gap structure 497 and for the existence of GSs has been taken of trigonometric 498 form, while the localized \mathcal{PT} -symmetric defect was taken with 499 the real part of the Gaussian and the imaginary part as a product 500 of a Gaussian and a linear ramp potential (antisymmetric 501 in space). We have shown, by means of direct numerical 502 simulations, that by properly designing the amplitudes of 503 real and imaginary parts of the \mathcal{PT} defect it is possible to 504 achieve a resonant transmission of the gap soliton through the 505 defect. We showed that this phenomenon occurs for potential 506 parameters that support localized modes inside the \mathcal{PT} defect 507 potential with the same energy and norm of the incoming 508 soliton. The direct numerical results were found to be in good 509 agreement with the predictions for the resonant transmission 510 made in terms of stationary defect mode analysis, this extends 511 previous results for conservative defects [19] to the case of 512 \mathcal{PT} -symmetric defects. When the imaginary amplitude of 513 the \mathcal{PT} defect is increased we found that significant changes 514 in the scattering properties appear. In particular, we showed 515 the possibility of transmitted and reflected GS which gets 516 damped or amplified during the scattering process depending 517 on the side of the defect (loss or gain) with which the GS 518 interacts more. We investigated this both by means of the mean 519 imaginary part of the defect potential seen by the GS and by 520 trajectories followed by the center of the density distribution. 521 Scattering properties of gap solitons belonging to different 522 band gaps and having different effective masses were also 523 investigated. We showed that GS with effective masses of 524 opposite sign behave similarly in \mathcal{PT} defect potentials of 525 opposite sign especially for parameter values close to high 526 resonances. Finally, we discussed the scattering of a GS by 527 a \mathcal{PT} defect which leads to an unidirectional transmission or 528 blockage (*diode effect*), and the amplification/depletion of a 529 GS trapped between a pair of consecutive \mathcal{PT} defects. 530

Finally, in closing this paper we remark that since \mathcal{PT} symmetric potentials can be easily implemented in nonlinear optical systems, we expect the above results to be of experi-⁵³⁴ mental interest for systems such as arrays of nonlinear optical

SCATTERING OF GAP SOLITONS BY \mathcal{PT} -...

535 waveguides and photonic crystals.

531

532

533

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.S. acknowledges support from the Ministero dell' 537 Istruzione, dell' Universitá e della Ricerca (MIUR) through 538 a Programma di Ricerca Scientifica di Rilevante Interesse 539 Nazionale (PRIN)-2010 initiative. F.A. acknowledges partial 540 support from FAPESP(Brasil). 541

- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5243 (1998).
- [2] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Opt. Lett. 32, 2632 (2007).
- [3] H. Benisty et al., Opt. Express 19, 18004 (2011).
- [4] J. Schindler, Z. Lin, J. M. Lee, H. Ramezani, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45, 444029 (2012).
- [5] N. Lazarides and G. P. Tsironis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 053901 (2013).
- [6] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 103904 (2008).
- [7] Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 213901 (2011).
- [8] Z. H. Musslimani, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 030402 (2008).
- [9] F. Kh. Abdullaev, Y. V. Kartashov, V. V. Konotop, and D. A. Zezyulin, Phys. Rev. A 83, 041805(R) (2011).
- [10] A. Regensburger et al., Nature (London) 488, 167 (2012).
- [11] C. Hang, G. Huang, and V. V. Konotop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 083604 (2013).
- [12] M. Nazari, F. Nazari, and M. K. Moravvej-Farshi, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 29, 3057 (2012).
- [13] S. V. Dmitriev, S. V. Suchkov, A. A. Sukhorukov, and Y. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 84, 013833 (2011).
- [14] F. Kh. Abdullaev, V. V. Konotop, M. Ogren, and M. P. Soerensen, Opt. Lett. 36, 4566 (2011).

- [15] H. Wang, W. He, L. Zheng, X. Zhu, H. Li, and Y. He, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 45, 245401 (2012).
- [16] K. Zhou, Z. Guo, J. Wang, and S. Liu, Opt. Lett. 35, 2928 (2010).
- [17] H. Wang and J. Wang, Opt. Express 19, 4030 (2011).
- [18] S. Hu, X. Ma, D. Lu, Y. Zheng, and W. Hu, Phys. Rev. A 85, 043826 (2012).
- [19] V. A. Brazhnyi and M. Salerno, Phys. Rev. A 83, 053616 (2011).
- [20] A. Regensburger, M-A Miri, C. Bersch, J. Nager, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 223902 (2013).
- [21] M. Salerno, V. V. Konotop, and Yu. V. Bludov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 030405 (2008).
- [22] V. V. Konotop and M. Salerno, Phys. Rev. A 65, 021602 (2002).
- [23] Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A 282, 343 (2001).
- [24] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 093902 (2009).
- [25] C. E. Ruter, K. G. Makris, R. EI-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys. 6, 192 (2010).
- [26] S. Lepri and G. Casati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 164101 (2011); S. Lepri and B. A. Malomed, Phys. Rev. E 87, 042903 (2013).
- [27] H. Ramezani, Z. Lin, T. Kottos, and D. N. Christodoulides, Proc. SPIE 8095, Active Photonic Materials IV, 80950L (2011), doi:10.1117/12.893195.